

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,693	04/21/2005	Kurt Berlin	47675-86	4705
22594 7590 11/06/2009 DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP/Seattle 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200			EXAMINER	
			SALMON, KATHERINE D	
SEATTLE, WA 98101-3045		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/506,693	BERLIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KATHERINE SALMON	1634	

The amendment document filed on 24 June 2009 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required

item(s) is required.
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other
 □ 3. Amendments to the drawings: □ A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). □ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. □ C. Other
 ✓ 4. Amendments to the claims:
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:
 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendmen filled after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.
2. Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.
/Sarae Bausch/

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Application No.

Continuation of 4E: NOTE: The proposed amendments filed 6/24/2009 is a bona fide altering to overcome the notice to the applicant regarding a noncompliant amendment filed 6/19/2009. Specifically, at issue is that in the last compliant amendment of 7/03/2008, claim 11 did not have the phrase "tissue, cell type or". However, in the instantly filed proposed amendment in line 10 this phrase is deleted suggesting that the phrase was previously present. The examiner suggests to correct this that the applicant oppletely removes the deleted phrase from the claim set. A strike through of the phrase is not applicable, as the phrase was not present in the last pending claim set of 7/03/2008. Further, the claim set of 7/03/2008 contained the term "detecting" in line 5 of claim 11. Therefore the pending claim 11 reads "detecting an amount of total free floating DNA in the sample". The proposed claim amendment strikethroughs the term "determining" in line 4. As such the proposed claim amendment appears to be altering the phrase "determining an amount of total free floating DNA". However, the term detecting was in claim 11 and not the term determining. As such the claim listing does not include all the previous text.